



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/527,066	03/17/2000		Douglas Rugg	5544.1 413428/031	3129	
7	590	07/25/2002				
Daniel J Mean	ney Jr Esq		EXAMINER			
P O Box 22307 Santa Barbara, CA 93121				GARBE, ST	GARBE, STEPHEN P	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3727		
				DATE MAILED: 07/25/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
	09/527,066	RUGG, DOUGLAS	
Office Acti n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen Garbe	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover shet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may  bely within the statutory minimum of  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) N  the cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
,-	This action is non-final.	nettern procedution as to the marit	c ic
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	wance except for formal her <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	5 15
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	/or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in			
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received ir	Application No	
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International E</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a limit</li></ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).	
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applica	ation).
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome</li> </ul>			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_ •
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 3727

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 12, 2002, has been entered.
- 2. Regarding the format of the amendment filed July 12, 2002, it is questioned why the font used for some of the claims is smaller than the font used for others. When a clean copy of all claims, including those that are not amended, is presented, all such claims are entered. Thus, they should all be in the same font. In addition, the marked-up copy of the claims should not include a copy of any claim that has not been amended. The marked-up copy of amended claims is required so that the PTO can easily determine what changes were made to the amended claims relative to the previous version of those claims. Since claims that were not amended have no change, it is unnecessary to submit a "marked-up" copy of those claims.
- 3. Page 17 of the written description is objected to because it uses reference number 472 for two different features. Note the last two paragraphs. It appears that "location 472" in the penultimate paragraph should, instead, read "location 72."
- 4. Upon reconsideration, the claims are indefinite for the reasons set forth below. In the next amendment, applicant is requested to provide reference numbers in the claims so that it is clear which elements of the disclosure are being claimed.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 3727

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite 6. for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The limitations directed to the handle are indefinite because it cannot be determined what handle and elongated strap structures and arrangements are claimed. This claim is further objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(d)(1) because the terms and phrases, as used in this claim, do not find clear support or antecedent basis in the description, and it cannot be determined what they are claiming. The term "elongated strap" is inconsistent with the written description because the claim requires it to be part of the "carrying handle," whereas, according to the written description, the "carrying handle" 464 and the "elongated strap" 492, 500 are two different elements. Furthermore, neither end of the elongated strap 492, 500 is connected to the exterior surface of the outer member 434. Similarly, the term "strap member" in line 9 is inconsistent with the written description because there is only one disclosed "strap member," i.e. "elongated strap member" 492, 500, and the elongated strap member is also recited in this claim. Thus, it is unclear what the "strap member" is. It was first thought that the term "elongated strap" might be referring to the strap used to make carrying handle 464 and that the term "strap member" might be referring to "loop member" 472. However, the claim also requires that a "connecting member" on the "elongated strap" cooperate with a "cooperating connecting member" on the "strap member." But, the only element connecting "strap member" 472 and "elongated strap"

Art Unit: 3727

464 is connecting member 476. Thus, it is unclear what handle arrangement is required by this claim.

- 7. Claims 2-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. These claims are indefinite for the same reason as claim 1 because they include all of its limitations.
- Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite 8. for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This claim is indefinite because it cannot be determined what handle and elongated strap structures and arrangements are claimed. This claim is further objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(d)(1) because the terms and phrases, as used in this claim, do not find clear support or antecedent basis in the description, and it cannot be determined what they are claiming. In particular, the terminology used in the recitations of the handle and strap structures are not consistent with the written description of those elements on pages 18 and 19 which are directed to the arrangement illustrated in Figure 14. The second paragraph, after the preamble, first recites a carrying handle having one end attached to the outer member. The handle is numbered 464 and the outer member is numbered 434. Then it recites that the carrying handle has an elongated strap. However, the written description states that the elongated strap is the element numbered 492 and 500, which is not part of the carrying handle. The claim further recites that one end of the elongated strap is attached to the outer member. However, neither end of strap 492, 500 is attached to the outer member

Art Unit: 3727

434. The claim further requires a strap member. Since the only strap mentioned in the written description is the elongated carrying strap, it is not clear what the claimed "strap member" is supposed to be. Furthermore, the recitation of "a carrying handle" in the third-to-last line of the paragraph renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether it refers to the same carrying handle recited in the first line of the paragraph or whether it refers to an additional handle. Thus, it is unclear what handle arrangement is required by this claim.

- Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being 9. indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. These claims are indefinite as claim 18 because they include all of its limitations.
- Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite 10. for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This claim still has a double recitation. In the second paragraph, the first three lines recite "a carrying handle . . . connected in a predetermined position . . . at a location other than the opening." Then, beginning at the end of the third line, the claim recites, "said carrying handle being positioned at a location other than the opening." Such a double recitation is confusing. The limitation beginning with "said carrying" at the end of the third line and ending with "opening" at the beginning of the sixth line should be deleted in its entirety. The remainder of the limitations directed to the handle structure are indefinite because it is unclear which element is intended by the term "elongated strap." It cannot be element 492, 500

Art Unit: 3727

because neither end of it is connected to the exterior surface of the outer member. And, it cannot be carrying handle 464 because there is only one connecting member between it and loop member 472. Furthermore, the recitation of "a carrying handle" in the third-to-last line of the paragraph renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether it refers to the same carrying handle recited in the first line of the paragraph or whether it refers to an additional handle. Clarification is required.

- 11. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This claim is indefinite for the same reason as claim 21 because it includes all of the limitations of claim 21.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this application or proceeding should be directed to Stephen Garbe who can be reached at 703-308-1207. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday between the hours of 7:15 and 4:45 and alternate Fridays between the hours of 7:15 and 3:45.
- 13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young, can be reached on 703-308-2572.
- 14. The <u>fax phone numbers</u> for Technology Center 3700 are 703-872-9302 for papers filed in response to a non-final Office Action and 703-872-9303 for papers filed in response to a Final Office Action.
- 15. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist, whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Art Unit: 3727

Stephen P. Garbe Primary Examiner Group 3720